

Banks

Credit impact : -
Spreads impact : -

MREL scenarios: what is the shortfall?

While the TLAC has already been calibrated, this is not the case for MREL. We assumed various scenarios and it emerges that the requirements are significant, and what is more, greater than those relating to the TLAC (as a result of the formula that we anticipate for the MREL). The uncertainties related to MREL is increased by (i) potential changes to the hierarchy of creditors in certain European countries (cf. Netherlands, Sweden) and (ii) the potential creation of asset classes eligible for inclusion in TLAC/ MREL.

TLAC and MREL: 2 ratios, 1 goal: loss absorption by bondholders

These two ratios have different names but are both made of liabilities that are easy to bail-in. **The underlying principles are also identical, geared towards a doubling of regulatory requirements (although version 2022 of TLAC, the result of much compromise, seems to diverge slightly from this idea).** These ratios are based on the following rationale: all banks should be able to 1/ absorb losses and 2/ recapitalise themselves up to the minimum required level under Pillar 1 (8% of RWAs -Pillar 1 total cap ratio- and 3% in terms of leverage exposure), including the Pillar 2 buffer and the Combined Buffer if necessary.

MREL calibration still an unknown: loss absorption by bondholders

TLAC calibration is known (16% and then 18% in terms of RWAs, 6% and then 6.75% in terms of leverage) but MREL calibration has yet to be defined and depends on various parameters. The eligible instruments have not yet been established, nor has a common level for all European banks under the supervision of regulatory authorities. **Working groups have in the past mentioned a benchmark equal to 8% of the total balance sheet, which has left quite an impression, but the SRB and the European Commission pointed out that this was a reference point rather than an obligation.** Cases will be examined individually. **Similarly, the recent comments by Elke König (in charge of the SRB) point to a higher calibration, and the work of the Authorities suggests that ultimately MREL will be expressed in terms of RWA and leverage exposure, rather than balance sheet size.** Regarding this subject, we invite readers to read our [regulatory presentation](#) (page 25) for further information.

A lingering process apparently delayed by UK-Germany vs France-Italy

Uncertainty ramps up as the many stakeholders involved in the process of determining MREL jitters on prospects final calibration could be harming their funding strategy and their efforts. **UK-Germany teamed up against France-Italy** (according to [Bloomberg](#) and the [FT](#) which cites people familiar with matter), **the former aiming at safeguarding progress made up to now, i.e. juniorization and issuance through NOHC, while the latter lobbies to cap the regulatory inflation as much as possible (in particular by capping the need for readily bail-in-able liabilities at 8% of balance sheet, which is strongly opposed by UK & Germany).** **In our view, the UK-Germany approach will eventually prevail, in other words, the benchmark of 8% of BS has to be seen as a minimum, rather than a maximum.** This is in line with the latest comment by the SRB (Elke König)

Time is ripe to shed light on calibration

On the next page, we detail, step by step, the methodology we used in assessing the potential shortfalls for a sample of major banks in continental Europe adding up the 2 capital stacks Pillar 1 and Pillar 2 multiplied by 2 AND on top of that, the Combined buffer (requirement and guidance), bearing in mind this approach yields stringent, yet realistic and consistent requirements, in our view. **We can see that the shortfalls are considerable and, most importantly, greater than those linked to TLAC (as a result of the formulation that we expect for MREL).** The usual suspects need to issue relatively large amounts on the back of the introduction of the MREL, supposed to be phased-in from 2019 onwards.



Elie Darwish
elie.darwish@natixis.com
 +33 1 58 55 84 32



Nelson Ribeirinho
nelson.ribeirinho@natixis.com
 + 33 1 58 55 85 29

| Our MREL Scenario | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------------------|---|--------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| MREL | ... expressed as a % of RWA | | | | | ... expressed as a % of leverage exposure | | | | Current | | | | Additional MREL-eligible bonds** | MREL shortfall based on Lev. exp. | MREL shortfall based on RWA | MREL shortfall |
| | Bank | Pillar 1 (A) | Pillar 2 (B) | (A+B)*2 | Combined buffer (C) | Total (C)+(A+B)*2 | Pillar 1 (D) | (D)*2 | Combined buffer (E) | Total (D)*2+(E) | Total capital ratio FL | Leverage ratio FL | Total lev exposure | | | | |
| Deutsche Bank | 8% | 3.25% | 22.5% | 4.5% | 27.0% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 14.7% | 3.4% | 1,390 | 401 | 51 | - | - | - |
| Commerzbank | 8% | 3.25% | 22.5% | 4.0% | 26.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 15.4% | 4.5% | 525 | 195 | 14.82 **** | - | 6.8 | 7 |
| MPS | 8% | 3.20% | 22.4% | 2.5% | 24.9% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 15.2% | 5.1% | 165 | 72 | possibly*** | 3.1 | 7.0 | 7 |
| Unicredit | 8% | 2.75% | 21.5% | 3.5% | 25.0% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 14.0% | 4.4% | 1,014 | 394 | possibly*** | 26.2 | 43.5 | 43 |
| Banco Popolare | 8% | 2.55% | 21.1% | 2.5% | 23.6% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 11.8% | 4.4% | 120 | 45 | possibly*** | 3.1 | 5.3 | 5 |
| Credit Agricole Group | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 3.5% | 24.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 18.1% | 5.5% | 1,379 | 509.0 | | 20.7 | 32.6 | 33 |
| CASA | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 2.5% | 23.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 17.6% | 4.4% | 947 | 304.3 | | 24.6 | 18.0 | 25 |
| BNP Paribas | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 4.5% | 25.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 13.9% | 4.0% | 1,724 | 627 | | 51.7 | 72.7 | 73 |
| SocGen | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 3.5% | 24.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 16.4% | 4.0% | 1,193 | 351 | | 35.8 | 28.4 | 36 |
| Santander | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 3.5% | 24.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 13.5% * | 4.8% | 1,338 | 572 | | 29.4 | 63.0 | 63 |
| BBVA | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 3.5% | 24.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 15.0% * | 6.4% * | 749 | 399 * | | 4.5 | 37.9 | 38 |
| Intesa | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 2.5% | 23.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 17.4% | 6.7% | 593 | 282 | possibly*** | 1.8 | 17.2 | 17 |
| ING | 8% | 2.50% | 21% | 5.5% | 26.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 17.5% | 4.3% | 1,093 | 315 | | 29.5 | 28.4 | 30 |
| UBI | 8% | 2.25% | 20.5% | 2.5% | 23.0% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 13.9% | 6.0% * | 122 | 61 | possibly*** | 1.2 | 5.5 | 6 |
| Caixabank | 8% | 2.25% | 20.5% | 2.8% | 23.2% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 15.9% * | 5.3% | 385 | 138 | | 6.5 | 10.1 | 10 |
| Mediobanca | 8% | 1.75% | 19.5% | 2.5% | 22.0% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 16.2% | 11.6% | 65 | 60 | possibly*** | - | 3.5 | 3 |
| CM11-CIC | 8% | 1.25% | 18.5% | 3.0% | 21.5% | 3% ? | 6% ? | 1% ? | 7% ? | 17.9% | 5.7% | 510 | 193 | | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7 |
| Sum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 402 |

NB: The data in shaded grey on the right of the table shows what type of requirement is the most demanding (RWAs or leverage).

1 DB/CMZB: We include here retroactively juniorised German senior debt based on the government's 2015 proposal

2 SAN: MPE (multiple point of entry) approach, an indicative illustration based on a SPE approach

Source: Bank data, Natixis

How do we calibrate? *

Here we estimate MREL-eligible liability shortfalls assuming the ratio is calculated based on a doubling of requirements under Pillars 1 and 2 (8% total capital ratio, common to all banks), to which we added the Combined Buffer albeit without doubling it. To summarise, we provide the formula applied to the data below:

- In terms of RWA: $(8\% + \text{Pillar 2}) * 2 + \text{Combined Buffer}$ (countercyclical / conservation / systemic buffers).
- In terms of leverage exposure: $2 * 3\%$ or $X\% + \text{any-would be Combined buffer translated in Leverage exposure when applying}$

| MREL shortfalls based on various leverage ratio calibrations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| MREL | MREL shortfall as a % of RWA * | Calibration of leverage ratio at 3%+1% | | | | | ... calibration at 3%+2% | | | ... calibration at 5% | | | |
| | | Bank | Pillar 1 (D) | (D)*2 | Combined buffer (E) | Total (D)*2+(E) | MREL shortfall as a % of Lev exp. | MREL shortfall | Total (D)*2+(E) | Leverage ratio FL | MREL shortfall | Total (D)*2+(E) | Leverage ratio FL |
| Deutsche Bank * | - | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | - | - | 8% | 12.9 | 13 | 10% | 40.7 | 41 |
| Commerzbank * | 6.8 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | - | 7 | 8% | 3.6 | 7 | 10% | 14.1 | 14 |
| MPS | 7.0 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 3.1 | 7 | 8% | 4.8 | 7 | 10% | 8.1 | 8 |
| Unicredit | 43.5 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 26.2 | 43 | 8% | 36.3 | 43 | 10% | 56.6 | 57 |
| Banco Popolare | 5.3 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 3.1 | 5 | 8% | 4.3 | 5 | 10% | 6.7 | 7 |
| Credit Agricole Group | 32.6 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 20.7 | 33 | 8% | 34.5 | 34 | 10% | 62.1 | 62 |
| CASA | 18.0 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 24.6 | 25 | 8% | 34.1 | 34 | 10% | 53.1 | 53 |
| BNP Paribas | 72.7 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 51.7 | 73 | 8% | 69.0 | 73 | 10% | 103.5 | 103 |
| SocGen | 28.4 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 35.8 | 36 | 8% | 47.7 | 48 | 10% | 71.6 | 72 |
| Santander ** | 63.0 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 29.4 | 63 | 8% | 42.8 | 63 | 10% | 69.6 | 70 |
| BBVA | 37.9 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 4.5 | 38 | 8% | 12.0 | 38 | 10% | 26.9 | 38 |
| Intesa | 17.2 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 1.8 | 17 | 8% | 7.7 | 17 | 10% | 19.6 | 20 |
| ING | 28.4 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 29.5 | 30 | 8% | 40.4 | 40 | 10% | 62.3 | 62 |
| UBI | 5.5 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 1.2 | 6 | 8% | 2.5 | 6 | 10% | 4.9 | 6 |
| Caixabank | 10.1 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 6.5 | 10 | 8% | 10.4 | 10 | 10% | 18.1 | 18 |
| Mediobanca | 3.5 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | - | 3 | 8% | - | 3 | 10% | 0.0 | 3 |
| CM11-CIC | 6.9 | 3% | 6% | 1% | 7% | 6.6 | 7 | 8% | 11.7 | 12 | 10% | 21.9 | 22 |
| Sum | | | | | | | 402 | | | 454 | | | 655 |

NB: The data in shaded grey on the right of the table shows what type of requirement is the most demanding (RWAs or leverage).

1 DB/CMZB: We include here retroactively juniorised German senior debt based on the government's 2015 proposal

2 SAN: MPE (multiple point of entry) approach, an indicative illustration based on a SPE approach

Source: Bank data, Natixis

Disclaimer

Reference prices are based on closing prices.

The information contained in this document and any attachment thereto is exclusively intended for a client base consisting of professionals and qualified investors. This document and any attachment thereto are strictly confidential and cannot be divulged to a third party without the prior written consent of Natixis. If you are not the intended recipient of this document and/or the attachments, please delete them and immediately notify the sender. Distribution, possession or delivery of this document in, to or from certain jurisdictions may be restricted or prohibited by law. Recipients of this document are required to inform themselves of and comply with all such restrictions or prohibitions. Neither Natixis, nor any of its affiliates, directors, employees, agents or advisers or any other person accepts any liability to any person in relation to the distribution, possession or delivery of this document in, to or from any jurisdiction.

This document is a financial analysis that has been developed in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research. Natixis developed this document for investment research activities and not for a public offering of securities nor in its capacity as agent of the issuer of the securities and independently of any issuer of securities mentioned in this document. The investments mentioned in this document may not be suitable for all types of investors. However, it is intended for general distribution and the products or services described herein do not take into account any specific investment objective, financial situation or particular need of any recipient.

This document and all attachments are communicated to each recipient for information purposes only and do not constitute a personalized investment recommendation. Natixis has neither verified nor carried out independent analysis of the information contained in this document. Accordingly, no representation, warranty or undertaking, either express or implied, is made to the recipients of this document as to or in relation to the relevance, accuracy or completeness of this document or as to the reasonableness of any assumption contained in this document. Information does not take into account specific tax rules or accounting methods applicable to counterparties, clients or potential clients of Natixis. Therefore, Natixis shall not be liable for differences, if any, between its own valuations and those valuations provided by third parties; as such differences may arise as a result of the application and implementation of alternative accounting methods, tax rules or valuation models. The statements, assumptions and opinions contained in this document may be changed or may be withdrawn by Natixis at any time without notice.

The information contained in this document may include results of analyses from a quantitative model, which represent potential future events that may or may not be realised, and is not a complete analysis of every material fact representing any product. Information may be changed or may be withdrawn by Natixis at any time without notice. More generally, no responsibility is accepted by Natixis, nor any of its holding companies, subsidiaries, associated undertakings or controlling persons, nor any of their respective directors, officers, partners, employees, agents, representatives or advisers as to or in relation to the characteristics of this information. The statements, assumptions and forecasts contained in this document and any attachment thereto reflect the judgment of its author, unless otherwise specified, and do not reflect the judgment of any other person or of Natixis.

Natixis shall not be liable for any financial loss or any decision taken on the basis of the information disclosed in this presentation and Natixis does not provide any advice, including in case of investment services.

In any event, you should request for any internal and/or external advice that you consider necessary or desirable to obtain, including from any financial, legal, tax or accounting adviser, or any other specialist, in order to verify in particular that the transaction described in this document complies with your objectives and constraints and to obtain an independent valuation of the transaction, its risk factors and rewards.

Natixis has set up due procedures for the separation of activities, notably in order to prevent conflicts of interest between research activities and its other activities. Details of these "information barriers" are available upon request from the head of compliance. Natixis implemented rules of professional conduct in order to prohibit analysts from executing personal transactions (excluding UCITS, managed accounts, etc.). Natixis also implemented an in-house policy on the remuneration of analysts in order to guarantee their independence and manage potential conflicts of interest.

It should be noted that, in the context of its activities, Natixis may have positions in financial instruments and in the issuer concerned by the recommendations or opinions provided in this document or any attachment thereto.

Natixis can be remunerated for underwriting services, investment services, advice services and any other investment service provision or banking activity and any other service related to financial instruments of the company or the companies mentioned in this document.

In this case, references made to conflicts of interests that Natixis and its affiliates may experience, with respect to the issuer(s) mentioned in this document are available on the website of the Research by clicking on the following link:

<http://research.natixis.com/GlobalResearchWeb/main/globalresearch/DisclaimersSpecifiques>

Natixis is supervised by the European Central bank (ECB).

Natixis is authorized in France by the *Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel et de Régulation* (ACPR) as a Bank - Investment Service Provider and subject to its supervision.

Natixis is regulated by the *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* in respect of its investment services activities.

Natixis is authorized by the ACPR in France and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the Prudential Regulation Authority in the United Kingdom. Details on the extent of regulation by the FCA and the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from Natixis' branch in London upon request.

In Germany, NATIXIS is authorized by the ACPR as a bank - investment services provider and is subject to its supervision. NATIXIS Zweigniederlassung Deutschland is subject to a limited form of regulation by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin) with regards to the conduct of its business in Germany under the right of establishment there. The transfer / distribution of this document in Germany is performed by / under the responsibility of NATIXIS Zweigniederlassung Deutschland.

Natixis is authorized by the ACPR and regulated by Bank of Spain and the CNMV (*Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores*) for the conduct of its business under the right of establishment in Spain.

Natixis is authorized by the ACPR and regulated by Bank of Italy and the CONSOB (*Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa*) for the conduct of its business under the right of establishment in Italy.

Natixis is authorized by the ACPR and regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA) for the conduct of its business in and from the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC). The document is being made available to the recipient with the understanding that it meets the DFSA definition of a Professional Client; the recipient is otherwise required to inform Natixis if this is not the case and return the document. The recipient also acknowledges and understands that neither the document nor its contents have been approved, licensed by or registered with any regulatory body or governmental agency in the GCC or Lebanon.

All of the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect the research analyst's personal views regarding any and all of the subject securities or issuers. No part of analyst compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this research report.

I(WE), ANALYST(S), WHO WROTE THIS REPORT HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS REPORT ACCURATELY REFLECT OUR(MY) PERSONAL VIEWS ABOUT THE SUBJECT COMPANY OR COMPANIES AND ITS OR THEIR SECURITIES, AND THAT NO PART OF OUR COMPENSATION WAS, IS OR WILL BE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, RELATED TO THE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS OR VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS REPORT.

The personal views of analysts may differ from one another. Natixis, its subsidiaries and affiliates may have issued or may issue reports that are inconsistent with, and/or reach different conclusions from, the information presented herein.

Natixis, a foreign bank and broker-dealer, makes this research report available solely for distribution in the United States to major U.S. institutional investors as defined in Rule 15a-6 under the U.S. securities Exchange Act of 1934. This document shall not be distributed to any other persons in the United States. All major U.S. institutional investors receiving this document shall not distribute the original nor a copy thereof to any other person in the United States. Natixis Securities Americas LLC, a U.S. registered broker-dealer and member of FINRA, is a subsidiary of Natixis. Natixis Securities Americas LLC did not participate in the preparation of this research report and as such assumes no responsibility for its content. This research report has been prepared and reviewed by research analysts employed by Natixis, who are not associated persons of Natixis Securities Americas LLC and are not registered or qualified as research analysts with FINRA, and are not subject to the rules of the FINRA. In order to receive any additional information about or to effect a transaction in any security or financial instrument mentioned herein, please contact your usual registered representative at Natixis Securities Americas LLC, by email or by mail at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020.

The stocks mentioned might be subject to specific disclaimers. Please click on the following link to consult them:

<http://research.natixis.com/GlobalResearchWeb/main/globalresearch/DisclaimersSpecifiques>